CONSIDERATION OF ESG FACTORS IN THE BENCHMARK METHODOLOGY		
Item 1. Name of the benchmark administrator.	STOXX Ltd.	
Item 2. Type of benchmark.	Equity	
Item 3. Name of the benchmark.	Benchmark – STOXX Europe Christian Family – STOXX Environmental Social & Governance Family	
Item 4. Does the methodology take into account ESG factors?	Yes	
Item 5. ESG factors taken into a	account for benchmark family.	
(a) List of environmental factors considered	This benchmark family takes the following environmental factors into account by exclusion: Global Standards Screening; Controversy Ratings; Environmental Ratings, Overall ESG Ratings; Unconventional Oil & Gas; Conventional Oil & Gas; Thermal Coal; Genetically Modified Plants & Seeds; Pesticides; Palm Oil; Power Generation from Fossil Fuels; Subsectorial Classification.	
	This benchmark family takes the following environmental factors into account by selection: Environmental Ratings, Overall ESG Ratings.	
	This benchmark family takes the following environmental factors into account by weighting: Environmental Ratings, Overall ESG Ratings; EU Climate Transition Benchmark (EU CTB) requirements; EU Paris Aligned Benchmark (EU PAB) requirements.	
(b) List of social factors considered	This benchmark family takes the following social factors into account by exclusion: Global Standards Screening; Controversy Rating; Social Rating, Overall ESG Rating; Controversial Weapons; Weapons (Small Arms & Military Contracting); Nuclear Power; Tobacco; Adult Entertainment; Alcoholic Beverages; Fur & Speciality Leather; Gambling; Abortion; Contraceptives; Human Embryonic Stem Cells; Genetically Modified Plants & Seeds; Predatory Lending; Subsectorial Classification.	
	This benchmark family takes the following social factors into account by selection: Social Rating; Overall ESG Rating.	
	This benchmark family takes the following social factors into account by weighting: Social Rating; Overall ESG Rating.	
(c) List of governance factors considered.	This benchmark family takes the following governance factors into account by exclusion: Global Standards Screening; Governance Rating; Overall ESG Rating.	
	This benchmark family takes the following governance factors into account by selection: Governance Rating; Overall ESG Rating.	
	This benchmark family takes the following governance factors into account by weighting: Governance Rating; Overall ESG Rating.	
Item 6. ESG factors applied for	the stated benchmark.	

(a) List of environmental factors considered	UN Global Compact Violations	Exclusion
(b) List of social factors considered	UN Global Compact Violations	Exclusion
	Business Involvement – Controversial Weapons	Exclusion
	Product Involvement – Abortion	Exclusion
	Product Involvement – Adult Entertainment	Exclusion
	Product Involvement – Birth Control	Exclusion
	Product Involvement – Gambling	Exclusion
	Product Involvement – HESC	Exclusion
	Product Involvement – Military Contracting	Exclusion
	Product Involvement – Small Arms (civilian customers)	Exclusion
	Product Involvement – Tobacco	Exclusion
(c) List of governance factors	UN Global Compact Violations	Exclusion
considered.		
	or is linked to violations of international norms and standards their underlying conventions). Controversial Weapons: Sustainalytics' Controversial Weapon involvement in the following areas: anti-personnel mines, biol weapons, cluster weapons, depleted uranium, nuclear weapon weapons.	ns Radar monitors company ogical and chemical
	Product Involvement: Sustainalytics' Product Involvement Re involvement on a range of product involvement categories.	search identifies companies'
	More detailed information on the application of these categori relevant methodology guides.	es is available within the
Item 7. Data and standards us		
(a) Data input.	The data are sourced externally from:	
	(i) Sustainalytics, a wholly owned subsidiary of Moriningstar In <a href="https://www.sustainalytics.com/">https://www.sustainalytics.com/</a>	nc.
(b) Verification and quality of data.	The verification and quality of data are checked both externally and internally by automated and manual quality assurance processes involving, inter alia, change control; change mapping; ID mapping; exception reporting; pre-publication reviews; continuous incident monitoring; quarterly rebalancing and centralised definitions.	
(c) Reference standards	UNGC Violations: The United Nations Global Compact (UNG Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (O Multinational Enterprises, the UN Guiding Principles on Busin	ECD) Guidelines for

	(UNGPs), and their underlying conventions. Controversial Weapons: International treaties and conventions used to define Controversial Weapons include Non-proliferation Treaty (1968), Biological and Toxin Weapons Convention (1972), Chemical Weapons Convention (1997), Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention (1999), Convention on Cluster Munitions (2008), United Nations Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons (1980), and Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material (1980).
Date on which information has been last updated and reason for the update:	June 2021 - First Version